

Considering neoliberalism, contempt, and allostatic load in the social dynamics of tuberculosis

Dragos Simandan

Geography Department, Faculty of Social Sciences, Brock University, Ontario, Canada,
simandan@brocku.ca

The paper by Mason et al on the social, historical, and cultural dimensions of tuberculosis (Mason et al, 2016) provides a welcome integrative framework for conceptualizing in a deeper and richer way this disease. In the spirit of the paper, this commentary offers two observations which will significantly strengthen the analytical grasp of their proposed framework.

Firstly, as it has been shown in previous work (Simandan, 2001), tuberculosis has a political dimension, in addition to the social, historical, and cultural dimensions identified by Mason et al. Specifically, much of the global political scene since the mid-1970s and continuing to the present day has been shaped by the ideology of neoliberalism (Simandan, 2011). Neoliberalism is a set of interlinked beliefs in the beneficial effects of free markets and the detrimental effects of state intervention, which relies on a social ontology of atomistic self-determination and personal responsibility. This ideology has provided the moral justification for the widespread attacks on social welfare provision and for the imposition by the International Monetary Fund in the Third-World and postsocialist countries of structural adjustment policies. The massive layoffs and the

To cite this paper: Simandan D 2017 – Considering neoliberalism, contempt, and allostatic load in the social dynamics of tuberculosis *Journal of Biosocial Science* vol. 49, pp. xxx-xxx (in press).

uncertainty of employment conditions induced by neoliberal policies have led to a public upsurge of fear and anxiety, which in turn explain why the incidence of tuberculosis has increased in countries undergoing neoliberal restructuring (Simandan, 2001).

Secondly, whereas the authors recognize the role of social stigma in the lives of people suffering from tuberculosis, it is important to point out that the social sentiment of contempt is an even more insidious process by which the healthy majority devalues and socially excludes those afflicted with tuberculosis. In a path-breaking paper in the cognitive-affective sciences, (Gervais and Fessler, 2016) have shown in detail that contempt is best conceptualized as a “sentiment”, that is, as a combination of a stable attitude of disdain with various accompanying emotions that depend on specific situational triggers. Tuberculosis patients tend to be devalued by social peers, and this attitude underwrites the complex emotions and behaviors that are described by the shorthand “contempt”. On one hand, contempt can take the form of disgust with the sufferers of tuberculosis, which in turn generates a hot phenomenology of behaviors that include laughing at, excluding, and being intolerant of tuberculosis victims. On the other hand, and more insidiously, contempt can manifest itself as a lack of compassion, which in turn produces a cold phenomenology of behaviors such as willingness to exploit the contemptible, indifference towards the suffering of tuberculosis patients, and lack of interest in their well-being (Simandan, 2014).

As it has been shown elsewhere (Simandan, 2010), the experience of social exclusion has direct clinical implications because it heightens the already high allostatic load of tuberculosis patients,

To cite this paper: Simandan D 2017 – Considering neoliberalism, contempt, and allostatic load in the social dynamics of tuberculosis *Journal of Biosocial Science* vol. 49, pp. xxx-xxx (in press).

and thereby fuels a dangerous reinforcing feedback process whereby tuberculosis → social contempt → social exclusion → higher allostatic load of tuberculosis patients → worsened ability to fulfill everyday duties → heightened social contempt → (repeat the causal chain).

References

Gervais, M.M., Fessler, D.M 2016 On the deep structure of social affect: Attitudes, emotions, sentiments, and the case of “contempt”. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, (early online view) pp.1-77.

Mason, P.H., Roy, A., Spillane, J. and Singh, P 2016 Social, historical and cultural dimensions of tuberculosis. *Journal of biosocial science*, 48(02), pp. 206-232.

Simandan D 2001 Governmentality, neoliberal constraints and everyday anxieties: a political ecology of tuberculosis in Orastie and the adjacent rural areas, 1989 – 2001. *Proceedings of Section 7 ‘Social Sciences and the Humanities’ of The National Session of Scientific Papers, Targu-Jiu, 24 -25 November*, pp. 259 - 264.

To cite this paper: Simandan D 2017 – Considering neoliberalism, contempt, and allostatic load in the social dynamics of tuberculosis *Journal of Biosocial Science* vol. 49, pp. xxx-xxx (in press).

Simandan D 2010 On how much one can take: relocating exploitation and exclusion within the broader framework of allostatic load theory. *Health & Place*, 16(6), pp.1291-1293.

Simandan D 2011 Kinds of environments—a framework for reflecting on the possible contours of a better world. *The Canadian Geographer/Le Géographe canadien*, 55(3), pp.383-386.

Simandan, D 2014 Omitted Variables in the Geographical Treatment of Well-Being and Happiness. *Geography Journal*, vol. 2014, pp. 1-5.