BROCK UNIVERSITY RESEARCH ETHICS BOARD

Tuesday November 22, 2022 12:00 – 2:00 p.m. Microsoft Teams

Minutes of the HREB Meeting

Attendance:

Lori Walker (non-voting) Chae Lynn Bush (non-

voting)

Stephen Cheung Kimberly Gammage Terrance Wade Maureen Shantz Shawn Beaudette Matthieu Dagenais Chris Cochrane Connie Schumacher Michelle Vine Kirina Angrish Manal Alzghoul Taranjot Dhillon

Regrets:

Nicole Chimera Sara Madanat

MINUTES				
ITEM DISCUSSION		ACTION		
1 Motion to app	rove Agenda	Motion to approve: CC Seconded: SB All in favour		
Motion to app	prove October Minutes	Motion to approve: MV Seconded: KG All in favour		
Motion to app	prove October Decision Report	Motion to approve: MS Seconded: MV All in favour		
2 Discussion Items	Guideline Review and Discussion Guideline on conducting research involving students Members agreed that this guideline is comprehensive and captures the necess information. Research participation for course credit This guideline was written for situations where students may participate in rese course credit and outlines the risks and benefits associated with their participate Comments: We should clarify that if all research activities, including but not limited to redata collection, analysis, and dissemination are internal to the class, then rethics review is not required. Extra credit and "bonus marks" are not allowed at Brock as per the Facul Handbook. The requirement for course grades must be approved prior to the the course on the allocation form for marks and appear on the syllabus. Research participation should align with course objectives and an equivale alternative assignment must be given. The guideline should reference this the Faculty Handbook as some researchers may not know. Please send any comments that you have for either of the guidelines by the end of tomorrow. The revised versions will then be sent out to be voted on by Monday by the office.			

		Synto training and soft launch update		
		 ensued as to whether the PI must press submit to formal research team member may submit. Comments: Overall, the consensus was that the PI should had button and approve the final submission. Students can fill out the application, but PI or delegation. 	o Overall, the consensus was that the PI should have to log on to click the declaration button and approve the final submission.	
		December meeting information We received information from the University that a holiday themed December meeting cannot occur due to financial limitations. The December meeting will likely be canceled unless time-sensitive issue(s) arise.		
		Other Business Microneurography SOP A reminder has been sent to the researcher to complete the minor revisions requested by the HREB. Reminder to complete the REB member survey.		
3	Adjourn	Meeting adjourned at 1:11 p.m.	Motion to Approve: MS Seconded: TW All in favour	