## BROCK UNIVERSITY RESEARCH ETHICS BOARD Monday, October 19, 2020 12:00 – 2:00 p.m. Teams

## Minutes of the SREB Meeting

## Attendance

Alyssa Bax (non-voting) Linda Morrice Dipanjan Chatterjee Michele Donnelly Angela Book Nicole Luke Lori Walker (non-voting) Robert Steinbauer Xiaoyang Xia Miya Narushima Christine Tardif-Williams Elizabeth Shulman

## Regrets

Sandra Kroeker Sandra Bosacki Jo-Ann Boyle-Jackson Veronica Panchyshyn Heather Chalmers

| MI | MINUTES             |   |  |  |  |
|----|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
|    | ЕМ                  | DISCUSSION  | ACTION   |  |  |
| 1  | Motion to approve   |   | Motion to approve: LM<br>Seconded: DC<br>All in favour |  |  |
|    | Motion to approve   | ove September Decision Report ed  | Motion to approve: RS<br>Seconded: ES<br>All in favour |  |  |
| 2  | Discussion<br>Items | COVID Update     The Office provided an overview of the continued   |  |  |  |
|    |                     | COVID precautions being taken by the university to mitigate risks to students, staff, faculty, and participants.  |  |  |  |
|    |                     | <ul> <li>Stage 3 research (in-person) continues to be authorized<br/>after the appropriate forms are returned from the ADR to<br/>the REB office</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|    |                     | <ul> <li>Brock did not transition back to stage 2 as other<br/>universities have done (UofT) because we are not in a<br/>hot-spot area and the risk of infection remains low in the<br/>city of St. Catharines.</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
|    |                     | <ul> <li>Most of the research that has come through the office as<br/>stage 3-5 has been from the HREB rather than SREB.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|    |                     | <ul> <li>Concerns brought up by a SREB member that graduate<br/>students will feel obligated to participate in some of this<br/>research</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|    |                     | <ul> <li>Office responded that the reviewers/chairs/office can<br/>make a note to the researchers in their review when<br/>they feel that there is a risk of coercive influence on<br/>graduate students and/or other participants.</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
|    |                     | Reviewer Training Presentations – sensitive research topics   |  |  |  |
|    |                     | <ul> <li>Suicidal Behaviour</li> <li>Outlines that reviewing projects should keep in mind that individuals with suicidal thoughts/ideation need help and reviewers do not need to take on a protective role.</li> <li>These individuals require the results of research projects to help them in the future.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
|    |                     | <ul> <li>projects to help them in the future.</li> <li>Asking questions about suicide has not been found to translate into suicidal action. Questions about suicide</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |

| 4 | Adjourn | actually found to be linked to a decrease in suicidal action.  Previously universities have said that no anonymous suicide research can occur because in the past this has led to unidentified suicides that may be linked to study participation. However, this is not ideal – as Brock has adopted: suicidal research should be anonymous but include resources for helpful connection post-participation and include an option to list their name for follow-up and help if they feel it is necessary.  Discussed that In Canada we are not required to report suicidal intention unless probable and imminent. In the US this is different – IRB's would need to approve protocols prior to commencement and would be required to comment on this topic.  Discussed that suicidal persons are still able to give their consent.  This PDF of a PowerPoint presentation was shared with all SREB members following the meeting.  Mandatory Reporting  This presentation focused on the duty of all Ontario citizens to report child abuse or neglect. If you have reasonable grounds to suspect that a child is in need of protect you must report it to children's aid society (CAS).  Forms of harm were discussed to include: 1. Physical harm, 2. Emotional harm, 3. Pattern of neglect, 4. Sexual molestation or exploitation, 5. Failure to provide proper treatment  Applies to any children under the age of 16, and ages 16-17 not mandatory but CAS will be required to assess if in the information is reported [CYFSA, s125 (4)]  Other Business  Scheduling discussed. Members encouraged to make every effort to attend the monthly meetings as they are scheduled to fit the most members availability. | Motion to adjourn: ES      |
|---|---------|---|----------------------------|
|   |         |   | Seconded: DC All in favour |