BROCK UNIVERSITY RESEARCH ETHICS BOARD Friday, March 9, 2018 12:00 – 2:00 p.m. PL 501C

Minutes of the BREB Meeting

Attendance

Ayda Tekok-Kilic Kirsten Bott Stephen Cheung Stephen Emrich Gail Frost

Kimberley Gammage Grant Hayward Carly MaGee (non-voting)
Matthew Mallette
Jennifer Matunin-Brown
Maureen Shantz
Craig Tokuno
Lori Walker (non-voting)

Regrets
Jean Armitage
Danielle Williams

MINUTES ITEM DISCUSSION ACTION					
IT	EM	ACTION			
1	Motion to approve Agenda • Approved		Motion to approve: SE Seconded: MS All in favour		
	Motion to appro • Approved	ve March & April Decision Reports	Motion to approve: SE Seconded: MS All in favour		
	Motion to approve March Minutes • Approved		Motion to approve: JM-B Seconded: AT All in favour		
2	Incident Report	REB #16-276 A participant fell in the lab when a safety harness was not fully secured. Chair and PI reviewed procedures in the lab. A spotter was present. It was decided that going forward, there would be a double check on harness equipment— performed by the researcher and the spotter. The incident occurred on a Monday, but the PI was not notified until Thursday of that week, when the Participant emailed the PI. The Student researcher(s) had not perceived that a report was necessary given that the risk was articulated in the consent form and the Participant did not appear to be injured. The PI was not present in the lab at the time of the incident. In future, a check-in process was advised where student researchers inform the PI (by telephone) when they arrive and when leave the lab and inform the PI of any incidents during the session. The Student investigator followed up with participant. No immediate or latent injuries were reported. The issue was resolved with training and education. Going forward, the board requested that GPPC 1. Clarify incident vs adverse event in terms of reporting requirements and best practice.			

2. Develop appropriate reporting forms and procedures.

3	GPPC Updates	 CM reviewed final revisions to the following documents: REB Guideline – Minor and Substantive Changes to Research with REB Clearance. Accepted as is REB Guideline – Definition of a Research Team Accepted as is REB Standard – Eligibility to Serve as a Principal Investigator Accepted as is 	Chair asked that if any members had further comments, they forward them to CM by end of next business day.
4	Business Items	 Updates: Michelle McGinn has been appointed Interim AVPR Animal Care is undergoing a restructure The RCR policy has been approved by Senate The REB Appeal Committee has been approved by Senate Research using commercial stem cells (CTC): The Secretariat's stance so far is that CTC research needs REB review but REBs can review approve commercial company processes and practices to simplify the research review process. Final statements still pending. 	GPPC to revise REB Compliance process Office will circulate Appeal process Researcher education needed on commercial stem cell use
5	Additional Item	Language in Consent Forms An issue arose in which a researcher wanted to use somewhat punitive measures and strong language to avoid situations of participant attrition in which expensive research equipment, sent home as part of a study, is not returned. Discussion followed.	There was consensus that the REB cannot support coercive or threatening statements in consent forms and that participants, as volunteers, should not be subjected to such measures.
	New Chair	SC announced that the incoming Chair (July 1) is CT and GF has agreed to be acting Chair for cases of conflict of interest (reviews of CT's research).	
6	Adjourn	Meeting adjourned at 1:09 p.m.	Motion to adjourn: GF Seconded: KG All in favour