

# Report to the Financial Planning, Investment and Human Resources Committee

Information Item

TOPIC: 2014-15 Q3 Report and Year End Forecast

March 12, 2015 Brian Hutchings, Vice-President, Finance and Administration

This report contains forward looking information. It is the accumulation of financial information obtained from Unit budget developers across the University. In preparing the 2014-15 forecast, certain assumptions and estimates were necessary. These assumptions and estimates are based on information available to Unit budget developers at the time of preparing the 2014-15 forecast. Users of this information are cautioned that actual results may vary. All figures are in ('000s).

## **BACKGROUND**

On October 3, 2013 the Board of Trustees passed a three part motion as follows:

"That the President be given mandates to:

- 1. Manage the affairs of the University such that it will achieve, or do better than, the budgeted deficit of \$7 million for fiscal year end April 30, 2014
- 2. Ensure that the budget prepared for fiscal year end April 30, 2015 will:
  - a. Incorporate any additional external financing requirements;
  - b. Include deferred maintenance spending of at least \$6 million;
  - c. Ensure that the University achieves an interest coverage ratio of greater than 2.0;
- 3. Manage the affairs of the University in order for it to achieve, or do better than, the budget for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2015."

The Board of Trustees motion is consistent with the Board of Trustee and Senate endorsed Integrated Strategic Plan, specifically strategic priority #7 - Practice accountability, fiscal responsibility, and stewardship.

This topic sheet follows a similar format as Q2 with the following changes:

- Statement of operations ratios that compare us to other comprehensive Universities
- Information on internally restricted net assets has been added
- Information on the 2015-16 capital and related project budget

Readers of this report are encouraged to read this topic sheet in its entirety, including the appendices.

### Summary

The appendices attached contain detailed information with respect to the University's financial results to date that can be summarized as follows:

### Funding Budget

	2013-14 Actual	2014-15 Budget	Forecast	Difference
Revenue	281,415	290,204	293,977	3,773
Expense	(282,180)	(293,425)	(293,606)	(181)
	-	(3,221)	371	3,592
Mitigation	NIL	3,221	-	(3,221)
Funding Surplus (Deficit)	(765)	NIL	371	371

The University is currently forecasting a funding budget surplus thanks to the work of many throughout the University and their desire to embrace the Integrated Strategic Plan, Strategic Priority #7 - Practice accountability, fiscal responsibility, and stewardship.

On the surface this result looks like a revenue story. Users of this report are encouraged to read the section titled management discussion and analysis of the funding budget and forecast. In this section it is identified a significant portion of the revenue beat is related to a couple one-time revenue amount that also helped offset an estimated \$1,011 miss on the core accessibility grant. Further expenses look to be slightly above budget but it is important to note the budget did not contemplate collective agreements not yet settled at the time of approving the budget. This means the BUFA collective agreement impact estimated at \$3,800 was fully absorbed as well as the initiatives included in the forecast below:

- A reserve transfer of \$900 has been forecasted consistent with a report going to the FPIHR Committee regarding employee future benefits funding for March 11, 2015. At April 30, 2014 the University has an employee future benefit liability of \$17,891 with no funds set aside to fulfil this obligation. These benefits are related to retirement benefits, disability benefits and the largest related to health care spending accounts. Presently Brock has a "pay as you go" model to fund immediate requirements of approximately \$500 per year. As the institution ages, continuation of this practice will impact Brock's financial sustainability. This will occur as people retire, become sick or disabled and the University becomes obligated to pay these benefits, plus the benefits of the replacement employee. The reality is these post-retirement costs are similar to the University's pension liability, which is funded as employees earning the benefit perform their employment responsibilities. This ensures future obligations can be met. It is in the interest of University and its employees that these post-retirement benefits are funded in a similar manner to also ensure future obligations can be met. The reserve transfer of \$900 is being recommended to the FPIHRC Committee and the Board of Trustees as a starting point to begin setting aside funds to pay these employee future benefits.
- A reserve transfer of \$475 has been forecasted consistent with a report going to the FPIHR Committee regarding debt reduction strategy for March 11, 2015. As indicated in the financial health metrics below, the University fairs poorly to other Canadian Universities in our comprehensive category when comparing key debt metrics. The

reserve transfer of \$475 is being recommended to the FPIHRC Committee and the Board of Trustees as one mechanism to reduce the loan on the Marilyn I. Walker School of Fine and Performing Arts building from \$18 million at June 2014 to \$5,240 when the loan comes due June 2019. This reduction in the loan will be made possible as a result of regular principal payments on the loan, an estimated \$6,160 in pledged donations and by putting \$475 into a reserve annually for debt reduction which will accumulated to \$2,375 by the time of the loan maturity.

• A reserve transfer of \$2,800 to replace the current accounting system funded from the recognition of previously received but unrecognized one-time funds. The current accounting system is no longer supportable, often down for considerable periods of time, and identified as a significant risk to the operations of the University impacting students, employees, and the community. This reserve transfer will be combined with the \$1,000 already budgeted in the 2015-16 capital and related projects budget. This would produce a balance of \$3,800. This reserve transfer will be considered by the FPIHR Committee in May 2015 and may be adjusted as cost refinement work continues on the project.

Although this forecast indicates a funding budget surplus, the mitigation efforts will remain in effect as these measures are a key driver of the balanced budget objective.

Recognizing this year's outcome is the result of a number of one-time events users of this report are cautioned to conclude the forecasted results are the new baseline for the University.

#### Financial Health Metrics

New to this topic sheet in Q2 were the following financial health metrics relevant to the University's credit rating. Additional information on each of the financial metrics can be found in Appendix #6. These financial health metrics are as follows:

	Brock			Median*		Weighted Average*	
	Q3 Forecast	April 2014	April 2013	April 2014	April 2013	April 2014	April 2013
Primary reserve ratio	7.6%	3.4%	4.2%	31.6%	32.8%	35.3%	31.7%
Debt burden ratio	3.2%	3.7%~	2.8%	2.3%	2.1%	3.4%	3.3%
Interest burden %	2.6%	2.6%	2.5%	1.9%	1.8%	1.5%	1.4%
Interest coverage	2.8	2.8	1.97	7.53	6.67	6.43	5.85
Viability ratio	14.0%	7.0%	8.4%	111.5%	98.2%	109.3%	110.3%
Endowment per student	\$4,000	\$ 3,860	\$ 3,420	\$6,680	\$7,390	\$7,010	\$6,840

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated using financial information from 15 other Comprehensive Universities

The financial health metrics in the table above can be explained as follows:

- 1. The primary reserve ratio refers to the amount of cash available to cover operations. It identifies at April 2014 we had approximately 12 days of expandable reserves.
- 2. The next two ratios above describe how the University utilizes a greater proportion of its annual operating expense to fund debt obligations.
- 3. The interest coverage ratio has been improving; however, trails behind our peers.
- 4. The viability ratio is essentially how much of the institution's debt could be paid off with expendable resources. The average institution in our category could pay off all their debt with expendable resources; whereas, Brock can only pay off 7%. This highlights that Brock is vulnerable to unplanned events.

<sup>~</sup> Increase year over year was impacted by the one-time repayment of the commercial plaza mortgage.

## Statement of Operations Metrics

New to this topic sheet is the following statement of operations metrics. These were developed to identify areas of strength and improvement. The statement of operations metrics are as follows:

by student headcount	Brock			Median*		Weighted Average*	
	Q3 Forecast	April 2014	April 2013	April 2014	April 2013	April 2014	April 2013
Student fees (primarily tuition)	7.72	7.14	6.75	8.32	7.72	7.08	6.63
Grant	6.02	5.91	5.81	9.62	9.07	10.87	10.54
Personnel costs	10.43	10.24	10.12	12.43	11.80	12.11	11.64
Interest on long-term debt	0.40	0.39	0.37	0.30	0.29	0.27	0.26
Investment income	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.55	0.47	0.56	0.44

The statement of operations ratios in the table above are all calculated on a student headcount basis and can be explained as follows:

1. Our student fees are in the average range of other comprehensive Universities. When we review all Ontario Universities we find ourselves slightly below the average. One reason for this is lower ancillary fees.

Domestic Student Per Credit Ancillary Fees	University	Student Union	Total
Non-Business			
Brock	240	228	469
Ont. Average	323	300	623
Variance	(\$83)	(\$72)	(\$154)
Business			
Brock	240	265	506
Ont. Average	323	370	693
Variance	(\$83)	(\$105)	(\$187)

International Student Per Credit Ancillary Fees	University	Student Union	Total
Non-Business			
Brock	253	228	482
Ont. Average	324	300	624
Variance	(\$70)	(\$72)	(\$143)
Business			
Brock	253	265	519
Ont. Average	324	370	694
Variance	(\$70)	(\$105)	(\$175)

2. The sum of all grants we receive for undergraduate and graduate students as well as research when calculated on a per student headcount basis is the lowest of other comprehensive Universities. When we review all Ontario Universities we also find ourselves with the lowest grants on a per student headcount basis. This speaks to a commonly misunderstood assumption in that all students are funded on a variable basis from the Province based on the BIU funding formula. The fact is

- the majority of the grant funding from the Province is fixed with no inflationary increase
- 3. Personnel costs mirror grants as the lowest on a per student basis when compared to other comprehensive Universities. When we review all Ontario Universities we are also the lowest. Important to note is that this metric does not specifically speak to any one reasons (i.e. compensation levels of individuals, productivity or employee levels). If one takes a closer look at the discrepancy between the average grant per student headcount and personnel costs per student headcount one should conclude the personnel costs are either too high or the grant needs to be increased significantly.
- 4. The interest on long-term debt measure is in-line with the financial health metrics and expected. This is a key reason for the proposed debt reduction strategy.
- 5. The investment income measure does not speak to investment performance but only to absolute dollars of investment income. It is in-line with the financial health metrics and expected given the low level of reserves we have.

### Metrics Looking forward...

The above metrics highlight the need to move forward with the employee future benefit funding and debt reduction strategy. These metrics also identified that efforts to continue to push efficiencies are required but a renewed emphasis on grant funding is required. This said, expectations on any improvement in grant funding should be tempered at best recognizing the University has brought this to the Province's attention in the past.

Going forward we plan to develop metrics in the areas of scholarships and capital funding. Management Discussion and Analysis of the Funding Budget and Forecast

The information obtained by way of this forecast will be used to guide future budget discussion.

Student fees (Budget of \$144,848 and Forecast of \$145,339)

There was a re-write of the tuition general ledger accounts going into fiscal 2014-15 to capture tuition billed by faculty by a student's Faculty of enrolment. This change occurred in response to the information from stakeholders throughout the University. The forecast is based on known registrants at the end of January.

Student fees are currently forecasted slightly ahead of budget. This information is illustrated in the chart below. This is an improvement from Q2 where the original forecast was slightly behind budget. It is interesting to note that the driving change in the revenue line is not FTE's. The change appears to reflect a mix change between undergraduate and graduate enrolment and the impact of the flat fee. This highlights the need to perform more detailed enrolment planning at the faculty level to enhance the forecasting accuracy of revenue forecasts.

		Forecast	Budget	Over/(Short)
Undergraduate and Graduate	Applied Health Science	\$20,046		
(Domestic and VISA) Tuition	Goodman School of Business	28,678		
Revenue	Faculty of Education	7,111		
	Humanities	12,381		
This revenue budgeted in	Math & Science	13,100		
"Global"	Social Science	34,407*		
	Social Sciences (Undeclared	5,722		

	Arts)			
	No Identified Faculty	354		
	Sub total	\$121,799	\$121,218~	\$581
ISP Tuition Revenue	Goodman School of Business	7,736	7.813	(77)
	Faculty of Education	4,191	3,541	650
This revenue is budgeted in the	Social Science	158	110	48
Faculty's	Sub total	\$12,085	\$11,464	\$621
	Other	11,455	12,165	(710)
	Total	\$145,339	\$144,847	\$492

<sup>~</sup> Difference from the Budget Report is \$1,122 as a result of a budget adjustment between Social Science (decrease) and Global (increase) in the tuition revenue budget.

The decline in "Other Tuition" is mainly attributed to a decline relative to budget in tuition related to International Student Services (ESL programs and the International Foundation program changes total of \$730).

On a per student basis the following are estimated FTEs for fiscal 2014-15 and actual for 2013-14 at the end of October:

	Grant Eligible			Grant Ineligible			Total FTEs		
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change
Spring/Summer	1,696	1,604	5.7 %	997	920	8.4%	2,693	2,524	6.7%
Fall	7,441	7,521	(1.1%)	1,340	1,289	4.0%	8,781	8,810	(0.3%)
Winter	7,258	7,295	(0.5%)	1,300	1,252	3.8%	8,558	8,547	(0.1%)
Total	16,395	16,420	(0.2%)	3,637	3,461	5.1%	20,032	19,882	0.8%

Note: 2014 figures are forecasted for fiscal 2014-15 at the end of October and the 2013 figures are actual for fiscal 2013-14. Commentary on Spring/Summer was provided in the Q2 and Year End Forecast.

#### Grant revenue (Budget of \$95,544 and Forecast of \$94,906)

Forecasted grant revenue is below budget mainly due to the reduction in spending forecast of the Faculty of Education transition funding grant of \$616. In addition to this reduction, the sources of funding are different than plan. As a result of the difficult and declining domestic enrolment environment, the Undergraduate Accessibility Fund is forecasted to be \$1,011 lower than budget. While year over year FTE's are only down 25 students overall, the budget was built on the scenario that there would be no decrease in Fall and Winter and recognized the strong Spring/Summer results. One of the primary offsets was the identification that certain graduate grants related to scholarships were budgeted net. When this grant is shown separately under grant revenue it increases grant revenue by approximately \$550.

#### Other revenue (Budget of \$49,812 and Forecast of \$53,732)

Forecasted other revenue is ahead of budget. The most notable change from plan is related to revenue related to a one-time recognition adjustment of \$2,800 related to previously received funding. In addition, the forecast includes the settlement of a legal claim where the University received \$605, financing charges \$700 higher than budget, HST recovered related to Section 211 forecasted at \$300 which was not budgeted, residence revenue higher than budget by \$312; offset by a forecasted decline in ancillary revenue related to the Campus store of \$1,380.

Personnel costs (Budget of \$196,980 and Forecast of \$196,336)

Forecasted personnel costs are slightly less than budget. The actuarial valuation related to the pension plan was completed during the second quarter. As a result of this valuation the annual payments related to the minimum guarantee are expected to be reduced from \$6,100 budgeted to \$2,400 forecast for 2014-15, up from the \$1,600 forecasted at Q2. Offsetting this reduction is the \$3,800 increase in personnel costs related to the BUFA collective agreement and the \$900 reserve payment to establish a fund to support future employee future benefit payments. An observation noted is at January 31 personnel costs are 72% (Prior year - 71%) spent to forecast.

Operating costs (Budget of \$96,445 and Forecast of \$97,270)

Forecasted operating costs are slightly less than budget. As previously discussed included in the Q3 forecast is the reserve transfer of \$2,800 to replace the current accounting system, which was not included in the original budget. In addition there was a change in accounting for Ministry matching scholarships. As noted under the grant comments above, it was identified during the course of the year that certain graduate grant and scholarships were netted in the budget. When the expense and grant is shown separately an expense is recorded in operating costs of \$725 that was not budgeted. The difference between this \$725 and the \$550 noted above is the University's matching contribution which was also noted budgeted. Other significant activities in operating costs include the new debt reduction reserve payment of \$475 and \$77 in additional debt payments as a result of issuing the debt for the Marilyn I. Walker School of Fine and Performing Arts building sooner than originally budgeted. Offsetting these reductions is a decline in forecasted spending in a number of areas including utilities and taxes which are forecast to be \$1,042 less than budget with savings of \$416 in Facilities and \$590 in Residence. Repairs & maintenance spending is forecast \$279 less, with the majority of the decline forecast in Residence. Cost of sales at the Campus Store is forecast down \$1,380 which is in line with the sales decline. In addition, as discussed in the grant section, the Faculty of Education transition grant spending forecast is down \$616.

An observation noted is at January 31 operating costs are 71% (Prior year - 73%) spent to forecast.

Forecasted Funding Budget Presented in Accordance with Canadian Accounting Standards for Not-for-profit Organizations (the "NFPS")

	2013-14 Actual*	2014-15 Budget	Forecast	Difference
Revenue	297,687	306,419	310,522	4,103
Expense	(295,556)	(308,992)	(302,464)	6,528
	2,131	(2,573)	8,058	10,631
Mitigation	-	3,221	-	(3,221)
Surplus	2,131	648	8,058	7,410

<sup>\*</sup>Adjusted for estimated change in accounting policies per the CPA Handbook to account for certain actuarial changes in employee future benefits directly through net assets instead of the statement of operations.

The management discussion and analysis presented with respect to the funding budget holds true for the NFPS presentation above when coupled with the adjustments denoted in Appendix #5.

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1 - Forecast Development & Risks (1 page)

Appendix 2 - Consolidated Budget and Forecast (Funding Budget) (2 pages)

Appendix 3 - Units Budget and Forecast (Funding Budget) (1 page)

Appendix 4 - Capital and Related Project Program (8 pages)

Appendix 5 - Forecasted Funding Budget Presented in Accordance with Canadian

Accounting Standards for Not-for-profit Organizations ("NFPS") (2 pages)

### Appendix #1 - Forecast Development & Risks

This being the University's second full third quarter close and forecast, it is important that users of this financial information are aware of the process taken to achieve this task. Relevant to understanding the close process is that it was performed on a cash basis, not an accrual basis.

### Forecasted Development

January 12, 2015	Third quarter accounting close and forecasting procedures were communicated. These procedures were updates from the previous Q2 procedures. The procedures provided timelines and discussed purchase orders, travel and expense claims, procurement cards, cash deposits/receipts, internal charges, etc.
February 2, 2015	All remaining third quarter accounting transactions were due to Finance by noon.
February 5, 2015	Financial Services closed the general ledger for the third quarter.
February 13, 2015 (extended timeline to February 18, 2015 for some Units)	All forecasts were due to Finance by end of day.
November 13, 2015 to report completion	Financial Services worked with budget developers throughout the University to review their forecasted inputs and summarize the third quarter forecast in this topic sheet.

## Other Assumptions

For the purpose of this report working capital along with the professional expense accounts, start-up funds, and project accounts have all been forecasted to remain constant.

#### Forecast Risks

Noted at the beginning of this topic sheet is that the information contained in this topic sheet is forward looking. The assumptions and estimates are based on the availability of information available to Units at the time of preparing this forecast.

Some specific risks include changes to Ministry policy, legislation, enrolment, course offerings, and recognizing this is still only the second time the University has performed a third quarter close and forecast.

#### Additional Financial Resources

Audited financial statements	http://www.brocku.ca/finance/university-financial-information/audited- statements
Budget reports	http://www.brocku.ca/finance/university-financial-information/budget-reports
Budget guidance & schedule along with a video called "Brock Financials ExplainedA Student Initiative"	http://www.brocku.ca/finance/faculty-and-staff/budgeting

### Appendix #2 – Consolidated Budget and Forecast (Funding Budget)

The following is the funding budget in-year activity and forecast:

	2013-14 Funding Actual	2014-15 Funding Budget	Activity from May 1 to Jan 31	Forecast for Feb 1 to April 30	2014-15 Funding Budget Forecast @ Jan 31
Revenue					
Student fees	133,525	144,848	146,276	(937)	145,339
Grant revenue	95,162	95,544	65,459	29,447	94,906
Other revenue	52,728	49,812	43,919	9,813	53,732
Total revenue	281,415	290,204	255,654	38,323	293,977
Expenses					
Personnel costs	(194,543)	(196,980)	(142,208)	(54,128)	(196,336)
Operating costs	(87,637)	(96,445)	(68,280)	(28,990)	(97,270)
Total expenses	(282,180)	(293,425)	(210,488)	(83,118)	(293,606)
Funding (deficit) /surplus before					
mitigation target	(765)	(3,221)	45,166	(44,795)	371
Mitigation target	-	3,221	-	-	-
Funding (deficit) / surplus	(765)	NIL	45,166	(44,795)	371

Note: The funding budget is prepared on a cash receipts / disbursement accounting basis and recognizes transfers to/from reserves and other funds as expenses. An example of this is the \$9,034 budgeted for the capital and related projects program has been recorded in the funding budget as an operating expense. These funds were transferred to the capital fund. As a general rule by ensuring the funding budget balances the University can ensure it has not overextended itself and has the actual cash to pay for planned initiatives.

In this respect the University maintains a number of internally restricted reserves that can be found on the audited financial statements as follows:

	Sinking fund	Tuition Set aside	Capital and infrastructure projects and reserves	Operating project accounts	Research funds with no external obligations	Start-up funds	Professional expense accounts	EFB (New)	Debt reduction (New)
Opening Balance	4,770	411	1,050	1,328	916	809	1,590	_	-
Forecast Increase / (Decrease)	800	(411)	8,312	=	=	-	=	900	475
Total	5,570	-	9,362	1,328	916	809	1,590	900	475

As noted a forecast has been produced for the sinking fund, tuition set aside, and capital and infrastructure projects and service. The sinking fund forecast was based on investment income earned as of January 31<sup>st</sup> as communicated in the Treasury Report, the tuition set aside forecast is based on known student employment that is already budgeted for and will satisfy this expense requirement for the Province, and the capital and infrastructure projects and reserves is summarized in the capital fund balance below. The forecast for the operating project accounts, research with no external obligations, start-up funds, and professional expense accounts has been set at no change. While funding from the funding budget does exist for these reserves, examples include \$1,000 transfer to the research funds with no external obligations for the trans-disciplinary units and \$2,143 in professional expense accounts, the expectation is an equal amount of spending will occur.

This is an assumption noted in Appendix #1 and reflects the fact that these accounts are the sum of hundreds of smaller accounts often tied to collective agreements or small projects with no external obligations. In this respect spending is always restricted to funds available but individuals tied to these accounts do not forecast activity. At a consolidated University level it is anticipated that a reserve balance will always be carried in these funds which will be materially consistent year to year.

Additional detail at Q3 regarding the capital fund balances are summarized as follows:

	FM	ITS	Capital Fund	Source
Opening Balance	1,050	-	1,050	Audited FS
Transfer from the funding budget (recorded				2014-15 Budget /
as an expense in operating costs in the table	7,594	1,440	9,034	Appendix #4
above)				Appendix #4
Additional funded projects Q2	726	-	726	Appendix #4
Additional funded projects Q3	540	33	573	Appendix #4
Forecasted spending fiscal 2014-15 budget	(3,879)	(893)	(4,772)	Appendix #4
Forecasted spending fiscal 2015-16 budget	(49)	-	(49)	Appendix #4
Accounting system	-	2,800	2,800	This Report
Forecasted Ending Balance	5,982	3,380	9,362	•
Components				
Work-in-progress	2,905	574	3,479	Appendix #4
Contingency Reserve	27	6	33	Appendix #4
DM Reserve	3,050*	-	3,050	Appendix #4
Accounting system	-	2,800	2,800	This Report
Total	5,982	3,380	9,362	·

<sup>\*</sup> The \$3,050 DM reserve is committed as follows: \$1,000 has been allocated to be spent on the approved projects proposed in the fiscal 2015-16 capital and related projects budget and the remaining \$2,050 is an unallocated DM reserve for Residence.

As noted in the 2014-15 Q2 and Year End Forecast, the above does not reflect major capital projects approved prior to fiscal 2014-15 like the Marilyn I Walker School of Fine and Performing Arts building and Sir Isaac Brock statute. On a go-forward basis all capital and related projects will go through this fund; however, recognizing the previously approved major capital projects are fully funded through grant, donation or debt issuance and have no statement of operations impact, they have been left to be reported by Facilities Management through the Capital Infrastructure Committee.

## Appendix #3 – Units Budget and Forecast (Funding Budget)

The following summary is new to the Q2 this year. It introduces year over year budget and actual comparisons.

Responsibility	2013-14	2013-14	Change	2014-15	2014-15	Change
Centres	Budget	Actual	Onlange	Budget	Forecast	Onlange
Academic						
Faculty of Applied Health Sciences	(16,134)	(15,022)	1,112	(16,350)	(16,405)	(55)
Goodman School of Business	(16,135)	(17,460)	(1,325)	(15,967)	(16,660)	(693)
Faculty of Education	(15,004)	(13,751)	1,253	(14,508)	(13,625)	883
Faculty of Humanities	(20,006)	(19,778)	228	(20,002)	(20,106)	(104)
Faculty of Mathematics	(18,890)	(18,249)	641	(19,183)	(18,950)	233
and Science	, ,	, , ,		` ' '	, , ,	
Faculty of Social Sciences	(31,726)	(29,962)	1,764	(32,926)	(32,538)	388
Faculty of Graduate Studies	(7,417)	(6,894)	523	(6,843)	(6,707)	136
Library	(8,071)	(7,811)	260	(7,710)	(7,644)	66
Research Services	(2,298)	(2,258)	40	(2,407)	(2,252)	155
Centre for Pedagogical Innovation	(1,539)	(850)	689	(1,124)	(973)	151
Total Academic	(137,220)	(132,035)	5,185	(137,020)	(135,860)	1,160
	(101,==0)	(10=,000)	-,,,,,,	(101,020)	(100,000)	-,
Non-Academic						
Alumni Relations and Development	(2,439)	(1,601)	838	(1,954)	(1,860)	94
Marketing and Communications	(1,740)	(1,395)	345	(1,657)	(1,550)	107
Board of Trustees	(27)	(20)	7	(20)	(32)	(12)
Leadership	(4,336)	(4,170)	166	(3,769)	(3,826)	(57)
Facilities Management	(23,422)	(18,042)	5,380	(25,286)	(24,854)	432
Finance and Administration	(15,833)	(14,794)	1,039	(15,143)	(15,260)	(117)
University Services	(867)	(526)	341	(752)	(596)	156
Centre for the Arts	(700)	(623)	77	(696)	(635)	61
Co-op Programs Office	(381)	60	441	(60)	179	239
Department of Residences	1,050	3,790	2,740	686	1,344	658
Student Services	(15,866)	(13,378)	2,488	(14,028)	(14,252)	(224)
Recreation Services and Athletics	(1,252)	(862)	390	(794)	(895)	(101)
Ancillary Operations	3.650	3.676	26	4.010	3.540	(470)
Total Non-Academic	(62,163)	(47,885)	14,278	(59,463)	(58,697)	766
Sub total	(199,383)	(179,920)	19,463	(196,483)	(194,557)	1,926
Global	192,205	186,815	(5,390)	201,000	198,974	(2,026)
Pension allocation	(5,808)	(6,094)	(286)	(6,100)	(2,400)	3,700
PDR allocation	(1,519)	(1,566)	(47)	(1,638)	(1,646)	(8)
Total	(14,505)	(765)	13.740	(3,221)	371	3,592

Note: The totals above tie directly to the 2014-15 Budget Report but the Pension Allocation representing the additional pension payments required as a result of the actuarial valuation and the PDR allocation have been removed here to reflect budget to actual as budget developers manage. In removing these expenses for comparative purposes it also assists in year over year comparisons after normalizing for the change in the pension allocation.

## Appendix #4 - Capital and Related Project Program

FPIHR Committee 2014-15 Q3 Report and Year End Forecast March 12, 2015 Appendix 4

Below is a summary of the capital and related project program that is also seen as Appendix #1 to the Capital Infrastructure Committee report called "Q3 Financial Update on Capital and Related Projects":

		O2 Funding	O3 Funding				2014/15		2015/16		
Project Name	April 2014 Approved Fundin	Adjustments (Se Note 1 for		Revised (A	_	Actual Costs to Date	Projected Total Cost May1/14 to April 30/15 (B)	Projected Cost Variance (over)/under (A-B)	Projected Cost in 15/16 (C)	١	tal Project Variance (A-B-C)
2014/15 LONG TERM CAPITAL PLAN											
BUILDINGS											
East Academic Seminar Room A/V Renewal	\$ 117,000	)		\$	117,000	\$ 105,521	\$ 109,000	\$ 8,000	s -	\$	8,000
Lowenberger Kitchen AHU Replacememt	\$ 275,000			\$	275,000	\$ 62,346	\$ 200,000	\$ 75,000		\$	75,000
Mackenzie Chown Fire Alarm Replacement	\$ 1,667,000			\$ 1	,667,000	\$ 85,626	\$ 700,000	\$ 967,000	\$ 817,000	\$	150,000
Faculty of Math & Science Paint Booth Code Compliance	\$ 70,000			\$	70,000	\$ 66,738	\$ 68,700	\$ 1,300		\$	1,300
Faculty of Math & Science Nitrogen Room Upgrades	\$ 30,000			\$	30,000	\$ 6,473	\$ 63,632	\$ (33,632)		\$	(33,632)
Thistle Make-up Air Upgrade	\$ 27,000			\$	27,000	\$ 19,304	\$ 27,000	\$ -	s -	\$	-
Village Courts 10, 11, 12 Roof Replacement	\$ 125,000			\$	125,000	\$ 142,490	\$ 142,490	\$ (17,490)		\$	(17,490)
Walker Complex - Faculty of AHS Air Quality Upgrades - Ph 1 Design	\$ 60,000			\$	60,000	\$ 30	\$ 20,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$	-
Aquatic Centre Structural Repair - Ph 1 Design	\$ 150,000			\$	150,000	\$ -	\$ 80,000	\$ 70,000		\$	70,000
Facility Improv. To Support ITS Classroom Improv.	\$ 40,000			\$	40,000	\$ 25,427	\$ 25,427	\$ 14,573	\$ -	\$	14,573
High Voltage Equipment Renewal	\$ 75,000	1		\$	75,000	\$ 85,073	\$ 75,000	\$ -		\$	-
Annual Controls Conversion	\$ 75,000			\$	75,000	\$ 21,354	\$ 75,000	\$ -		\$	-
Podium Roof - Phase 1	\$ 200,000	\$ (90,000	)	\$	110,000	\$ 109,226	\$ 109,226	\$ 774		\$	774
Thistle Door Replacements	\$ 87,900	\$ (54,870	)	\$	33,030	\$ 6,175	\$ 39,980	\$ (6,950)		\$	(6,950)
Cairns East Entrance Door Replacement		\$ 56,970	1	\$	56,970	\$ -	\$ 55,000	\$ 1,970		\$	1,970
POST MIWSFPA- SOS THEATRE Upgrades -Design Fees	\$ 87,381			\$	87,381	\$ 4	\$ 17,500	\$ 69,881	\$ 69,881	\$	-
POST MIWSFPA- Thistle Upgrades - Design Fees	\$ 85,313			\$	85,313	\$ -	\$ 17,000	\$ 68,313	\$ 68,313	\$	-
POST MIWSFPA- Schmon Tower Upgrades - Design Fees	\$ 62,046			s	62,046	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ 56,046	\$ 56,046	\$	-
POST MIWSFPA- Glenridge/East Academic Upgrades - Design Fees	\$ 40,260			s	40,260	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ 30,260	\$ 30,260	\$	-
WH 311	\$ 8,000			\$	8,000	\$ 8,138	\$ 8,138	\$ (138)		\$	(138)
WH 327	\$ 85,000			\$	85,000	\$ 86,612	\$ 86,612	\$ (1,612)		\$	(1,612)
WH 324 (tiered classroom) - Ph1 Design	\$ 20,000			\$	20,000	\$ 5,759	\$ 15,520	\$ 4,480		\$	4,480
Single Use Accessible Washroom Call Stations	\$ 25,000			\$	25,000	\$ -	\$ 15,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$	-
Jubilee Court & Decew Ramps	\$ 125,000			\$	125,000	\$ 3,769	\$ 3,725	\$ 121,275	\$ 121,275	\$	-
Print Shop Lift				\$	-		\$ 60,600	\$ (60,600)	s -	\$	(60,600)
Schmon Tower Lobby Millwork				\$	-		\$ 24,500	\$ (24,500)		\$	(24,500)
Schmon Tower Elevators				\$	-		\$ 26,400	\$ (26,400)		\$	(26,400)
Sub-Total Buildings	\$ 3,536,900	\$ (87,900	) \$ -	\$ 3	,449,000	\$ 840,065	\$ 2,081,451	\$ 1,367,549	\$ 1,212,775	\$	154,774

		Q2 Funding	Q3 Funding	ding		2014/15		2015/16		
Project Name	April 2014 Approved Funding	Adjustments (See Note 1 for	Adjustments (See Note 2 for	Revised Funding (A)	Actual Costs to Date	Projected Total Cost May1/14 to April 30/15 (B)	Projected Cost Variance (over)/under (A-B)	Projected Cost in 15/16 (C)	Va	al Project ariance (A-B-C)
ROADS, PARKING LOTS, WALKWAYS, STRUCTURES, SURFACE DRAIN										
Third Campus Entrance Design	\$ 45,000			\$ 45,000	\$ 6,956	\$ 8,500	\$ 36,500	\$ 36,500	\$	-
BRIC Parking Lot Rebuilding	\$ 273,000			\$ 273,000	\$ 235,933	\$ 237,000	\$ 36,000	\$ 11,000	\$	25,000
Exterior Paths of Travel (Design of Public Spaces)	\$ 95,000			\$ 95,000	\$ 5,965	\$ 14,000	\$ 81,000	\$ 81,000	\$	-
Campus Entrance Sign Renewal	\$ 30,000			\$ 30,000	\$ 6,730	\$ 6,730	\$ 23,270		\$	23,270
Sculpture Relocation	\$ 40,000			\$ 40,000	\$ 31,716	\$ 31,966	\$ 8,034	\$ -	\$	8,034
Restoration of Path of Possibilities Sculpture				\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$	(10,000)
Move 3 Corten sculptures to MIWSFPA				\$ -		\$ 2,000	\$ (2,000)	\$ 23,000	s	(25,000)
East Academic Site Work				\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$	(50,000)
Sub-Total Roads, Parking Lots, Sidewalks, etc.	\$ 483,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 483,000	\$ 287,300	\$ 300,196	\$ 182,804	\$ 211,500	\$	(28,696)
UTILITIES										
Back-flow Prevention - St. Catharines	\$ 50,000			\$ 50,000	\$ 0	\$ 15,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$	-
Potable Water Piping Master Plan/Design (for tunnel and buried piping)	\$ 110,000			\$ 110,000	s -	\$ 5,000	\$ 105,000	\$ 105,000	\$	-
BRIC Watermain Replacement - Additional Expenses	\$ -			\$ -	\$ 8,554	\$ 8,554	\$ (8,554)		\$	(8,554)
Stormwater Management Facility				\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$	(100,000)
Sub-Total Utilities	\$ 160,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 160,000	\$ 8,554	\$ 28,554	\$ 131,446	\$ 240,000	\$	(108,554)
ENERGY										
Add Twin Exchanger at HX-2	\$ 100,000			\$ 100,000	s -	\$ 30,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000	\$	-
Lighting Conversion Main Campus	\$ 30,000			\$ 30,000	\$ 164	\$ 3,300	\$ 26,700	\$ 26,700	\$	-
Campus Metering	\$ 50,000			\$ 50,000	s -	\$ 40,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$	-
Water Conservation - low flow toilets	\$ 19,000			\$ 19,000	\$ 11,612	\$ 19,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
Emergency Exit Light Replacements (LED)	\$ 10,000			\$ 10,000	s -	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$	-
CBF Supply and Exhaust Air Improvements (CFHBRC)	\$ 100,000			\$ 100,000	s -	\$ 10,600	\$ 89,400	\$ 89,400	\$	-
Water Treatment Review (CFHBRC)	\$ 10,000			\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	\$	-
Walker Complex Humidification Boiler Replacement		\$ 45,000		\$ 45,000	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$	25,000
Sub-Total Energy	\$ 319,000	\$ 45,000	\$ -	\$ 364,000	\$ 11,776	\$ 127,900	\$ 236,100	\$ 211,100	\$	25,000
EQUIPMENT										
B Block Level 300 Network Upgrades	\$ 70,000			\$ 70,000	\$ 47,559	\$ 56,000	\$ 14,000	s -	\$	14,000
South Block Wireless Upgrading	\$ 50,000			\$ 50,000	\$ 49,282	\$ 49,282	\$ 718	s -	\$	718
Campus Wireless Upgrading	\$ 28,100			\$ 28,100	\$ 27,999	\$ 27,999	\$ 101	\$ -	\$	101
Sub-Total Equipment	\$ 148,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 148,100	\$ 124,840	\$ 133,281	\$ 14,819	s -	\$	14,819
OTHER										
Facility Condition Audits (VFA)	\$ 75,000			\$ 75,000	\$ 13,727	\$ 75,000	\$ -		\$	-

		Q2 Funding		23 Funding					_	2014/15			2015/16		
Project Name	April 2014 Approved Funding	Adjustments (S Note 1 for	ee Adju	ustments (See Note 2 for	Re	evised Funding (A)	A	ctual Costs to Date	Co	rojected Total ost May1/14 to pril 30/15 (B)	Projecte Variar (over)/und	nce	Projected Cost in 15/16 (C)	١v	tal Projec Variance (A-B-C)
Campus Plan Update	\$ 200,000				\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	75,000	\$ 1	25,000	\$ 125,000	\$	
Energy Audits for Key Buildings and Areas	\$ 20,000				\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	10,000	\$	10,000	\$ 10,000	\$	
Cogen Energy Audit and Mass Balance Study	\$ 20,000				\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	18,500	\$	1,500	\$ 1,500	\$	
Project Management Expenses	\$ 300,000				\$	300,000	\$	116,349	\$	159,924	\$ 1	40,076	\$ 140,076	\$	
Prox. Card access system - residence	\$ 150,000				\$	150,000	\$	-	\$	15,000	\$ 1	35,000	\$ 135,000	\$	
Furniture repl-residence	\$ 100,000				\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	100,000	\$	-		\$	
Vehicles - Ancillary Utility Vehicles	\$ 17,000				\$	17,000	\$	17,062	\$	17,062	\$	(62)		\$	(6:
Vehicles - FM Small Equipment	\$ 10,000				\$	10,000	\$	7,881	\$	7,881	\$	2,119	\$ 2,119	\$	
Vehicles - Security	\$ 55,000				\$	55,000	\$	45,681	\$	49,500	\$	5,500		\$	5,500
CFHBRC Asset Management Plan/Reserve Fund Study		\$ 42,9	00		\$	42,900	\$	-	\$	24,000	\$	18,900		\$	18,900
Schmon Tower Reserve Fund Study					\$	-	\$	-		-	\$	-	\$ 25,000	\$	(25,000
Replace Sankey Chairs					\$	-				-	\$	-	\$ 36,560	\$	(36,560
Sub-Total Other	\$ 947,000	\$ 42,9	00 \$	-	\$	989,900	\$	200,700	\$	551,867	\$ 4	38,033	\$ 475,255	\$	(37,22
RESERVES		•													
Sub-Total Reserves	\$ 2,000,000				s	2,000,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,0	00,000	\$ 2,000,000	s	
TOTAL 14/15 LTCP	\$ 7,594,000	s	- \$	-	\$	7,594,000	\$	1,473,235	\$	3,223,249	\$ 4,37	0,751	\$ 4,350,630	\$	20,121
													Includes \$2 m Reserve		
14/15 LTCP - OTHER FUNDING															
Lowenberger Kitchen AHU Replacement		\$ 100,0	00		\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	-		\$	
Plaza - 513	\$ -	\$ 8,2	00		\$	8,200	\$	7,799	\$	8,200	\$	-		\$	
Artificial Turf Sports Field		\$ 60,0	45		\$	60,045	\$	262	\$	25,000	\$	35,045	\$ 35,045	\$	
BUSU Roof Replacement	\$ -	\$ 300,0	00		\$	300,000	s	158,725	\$	300,000	\$	-		\$	
Goodman School of Business - Alternative Planning		\$ 125,0	00		\$	125,000	\$	71,561	\$	86,600	\$	38,400	\$ 38,400	\$	
Isaac Brock Blvd Transit Waiting Area Improvements (Reduced Scope)	s -	\$ 64,7	00		\$	64,700	s	52,861	\$	57,500	\$	7,200		\$	7,20
Aquatic Centre - Final Costs		\$ 8,1	90		\$	8,190	s	10,796	\$	8,190	\$	-		\$	
CDH Facility Plan- Design		\$ 25,0	00		\$	25,000	\$	-	\$	25,335	\$	(335)		\$	(33
Athletics & Rec. Services Facility Needs Study		\$ 35,0	00		\$	35,000	\$	-	\$	5,000	\$	30,000	\$ 30,000	\$	
Residence Reserve Fund Study			s	200,000	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2	00,000	\$ 200,000	\$	
Gateway Furniture			s	300,000	\$	300,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3	00,000	\$ 300,000	\$	
Exam desks and chairs			\$	40,000	\$	40,000	\$	-	\$	40,000	\$	-		\$	
TOTAL 14/15 LTCP - OTHER FUNDING	\$ -	\$ 726,13	5 \$	540,000	5	1,266,135	5	402,005	5	655,825	\$ 61	0,310	\$ 603,445	5	6,865
TOTAL 14/15 LTCP (INCL. OTHER FUNDING)	\$ 7,594,000	\$ 726,13	35 <b>\$</b>	540,000	S	8,860,135	S	1,875,240	S	3,879,074	\$ 4,98	1,061	\$ 4,954,075	S	26.986

Includes \$2 m Reserve

						2014/15		2015/16	
Project Name	April 2014 Approved Funding	Q2 Funding Adjustments (See Note 1 for Funding Sources)	Q3 Funding Adjustments (See Note 2 for Funding Sources)	Revised Funding (A)	Actual Costs to Date	Projected Total Cost May1/14 to April 30/15 (B)	Projected Cost Variance (over)/under (A-B)	Projected Cost in 15/16 (C)	Total Project Variance (A-B-C)
2014/15 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES LTCP									
IT INFRASTRUCTURE									
Sharepoint Infrastructure	71,000	-		71,000	-	26,000	45,000	45,000	-
Cloud Readiness	29,000	•		29,000		_	29,000	29,000	_
Cloud Student Email	25,000	•		25,000	15,331	17,331	7,669	7,669	_
Microsoft Dynamics/CRM	50,000	•		50,000	_	-	50,000	50,000	_
PCI Compliance (includes Proj 656-Bookstore Chase Pymnt)	20,000	-		20,000	37,034	45,380	(25,380)	-	(25,380)
Sub-Total IT Infrastructure	195,000	•		195,000	52,365	88,711	106,289	131,669	(25,380)
IT EVERGREENING									
Disk Storage Replacement	21,009	-		21,009	111	111	20,898	20,898	_
Security (Door Security)	30,000	-		30,000	6,624	30,000	_	-	-
UPS Replacement- Batteries	35,000	-		35,000	32,892	34,580	420	-	420
CCTV Evergreening	25,000	-		25,000	27,044	27,044	(2,044)	-	(2,044)
Network Switches	325,000	-		325,000	93,920	208,500	116,500	116,500	-
BAU-Evergreening-Residence	373,992	-		373,992	160,124	223,992	150,000	150,000	-
CATV	10,000	-		10,000	9,287	9,800	200	-	200
Computer Redeployment	186,000	-		186,000	185,831	185,831	169		169
Audio Visual BAU 2014	50,000	-		50,000	43,728	50,000	-		-
Sub-Total IT Evergreening	1,056,001	-		1,056,001	559,561	769,858	286,143	287,398	(1,255)
IT SOFTWARE									
Microsoft Project Server	10,000	-		10,000	-	-	10,000	10,000	-
Education Desktop/365	90,000	-		90,000	-		90,000	90,000	-
Space Planning - Archibus	34,331	-		34,331	1,705	1,705	32,626	-	32,626
Contract Management	55,000	-		55,000	-	-	55,000	55,000	-
Sub-Total IT Software	189,331	-	-	189,331	1,705	1,705	187,626	155,000	32,626
TOTAL 2014/15 ITS LTCP	\$ 1,440,332	\$ -		\$ 1,440,332	\$ 613,631	\$ 860,274	\$ 580,058	\$ 574,067	\$ 5,991
14/15 ITS LTCP - OTHER FUNDING									
Incident Management Review	_	-	32,597	32,597	32,597	32,597	_	_	_
TOTAL 14/15 ITS LTCP - OTHER FUNDING	5 -	\$ -	\$ 32,597	\$ 32,597	\$ 32,597	\$ 32,597	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL 14/15 ITS LTCP (INCL. OTHER FUNDING)	\$ 1,440,332	\$ -	\$ 32,597	\$ 1,472,929	\$ 646,228	\$ 892,871	\$ 580,058	\$ 574,067	\$ 5,991

- 1							2014/15		2015/16	
			Q2 Funding	Q3 Funding						4 1
	Project Name		Adjustments (See	Adjustments (See			Projected Total	Projected Cost		Total Project
	,	April 2014	Note 1 for	Note 2 for	Revised Funding	Actual Costs to	Cost May1/14 to	Variance	Projected Cost in	Variance
		Approved Funding	Funding Sources)	Funding Sources)	(A)	Date	April 30/15 (B)	(over)/under (A-B)	15/16 (C)	(A-B-C)

Residence	\$	100,000	N - 1770	Residen
BUSU	\$	300,000	Non-ITS:	Internal
Energy Rebate	\$	132,890		
Start Up Funds	\$	8,200		
Donations	\$	125,045	ITS:	Interna
University Services	\$	60,000		
	Total Additional Funding Q2 \$	726,135		

Non-ITS:	Residence	\$ 500,000
NoiFi13.	Internal Transfer from Operating	\$ 40,000
	Total Additional Funding Q3	\$ 540,000

ITS: Internal Transfer from Operating \$ 32,597

Total Additional Funding Q3 \$ 32,597

Nine projects to be added to 14/15 LTCP- total \$358,060

Below is a summary of the capital and related project program that is also seen as Appendix #2 to the Capital Infrastructure Committee report called "Q3 Financial Update on Capital and Related Projects":

							2014-15			2045 44		2015-16
Project Name	April 2014 Approved Funding	Q2 Funding Adjustments	Q3 Funding Adjustments	Revised Funding (A)	Actual Costs to Date	Tot May	ojected tal Cost y1/14 to il 30/15 (B)	Var	cted Cost riance (under) (A- B)	2015-16  Projected Cost in 15/16 (C)	Total Project Variance (D-B-C)	014 Approved
2015/2016 LONG TERM CAPITAL PLAN												
BUILDINGS												
Walker Complex-FAHS Air Quality Upgrades						П		\$	-	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000
Village Fire Alarm/Emergency Notification						\$	30,000	\$	(30,000)	\$ 1,110,000	\$ -	\$ 1,140,000
Swimming Pool Hydraulic Cylinder Renewal								\$	-	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000
High Voltage Equipment Renewal								\$	-	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000
Annual Controls Conversion								\$	-	\$ 32,500	\$ -	\$ 32,500
600 V Sub-Station Deficiencies								\$	-	\$ 85,000	\$ -	\$ 85,000
Podium Roof								\$	-	\$ 435,000	\$ -	\$ 435,000
MC "A" Roofs 57,58								\$	-	\$ 425,000	\$ -	\$ 425,000
Aquatic Centre Structural Repair								\$	-	\$ 1,200,000	\$ -	\$ 1,200,000
Lowenberger Cladding Replacement								\$	-	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000
Traditional Residences Auto Door Operators						\$	2,000	\$	(2,000)	\$ 58,000	\$ -	\$ 60,000
Parking Curb Cuts/Accessible Spaces						\$	2,000	\$	(2,000)	\$ 13,000	\$ -	\$ 15,000
EA Learning Space ITS/AV Modernization								\$	-	\$ 190,000	\$ -	\$ 190,000
EA Seminar Room and Flat Classroom Renewal						\$	1,000	\$	(1,000)	\$ 99,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000
Life Safety-Visual Alarms (WC,TA,BRIC,WH)						\$	1,000	\$	(1,000)	\$ 24,000	\$ -	\$ 25,000
MIWSFPA- SOS Theatre Upgrades						\$	-	\$	-	\$ 107,347	\$ -	\$ 107,347
MIWSFPA- Thistle Upgrades						\$	-	\$	-	\$ 776,299	\$ -	\$ 776,299
MIWSFPA- Schmon Tower Upgrades						\$	-	\$	-	\$ 269,318	\$ -	\$ 269,318
MIWSFPA- Glenridge/East Academic Upgrades						\$	-	\$	-	\$ 372,036	\$ -	\$ 372,036
Library 6th Floor Group Learning Space								\$	-	\$ 105,000	\$ -	\$ 105,000
Sub-Total Buildings	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	<b>s</b> -	5	36,000	\$	(36,000)	\$ 6,651,500	\$ -	\$ 6,687,500
ROADS, PARKING LOTS, WALKWAYS, STRUCTURES, SU	IRFACE DRA	INAGE										
Exterior Routes of Travel						\$	1,000	\$	(1,000)	\$ 24,000	\$ -	\$ 25,000
Sub-Total Roads, Parking Lots, etc.	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	s -	\$	1,000	\$	(1,000)	\$ 24,000	\$ -	\$ 25,000
UTILITIES												
Back-Flow Prevention - St. Catharines								\$	-	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000
Sub-Total Utilities	\$ -	\$ -		<b>S</b> -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000
ENERGY												
DeCew Lighting Retrofit	\$ -					\$	9,000	\$	(9,000)	\$ 191,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000
Village Exterior Court Lighting (Final Phase)	\$ -					\$	3,000	\$	(3,000)	\$ 47,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000
Sub-Total Energy	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	s -	\$	12,000	s	(12,000)	\$ 238,000	\$ -	\$ 250,000

						2014-15		2015-16		2015-16
Project Name	April 2014 Approved Funding	Q2 Funding Adjustments	Q3 Funding Adjustments	Revised Funding (A)	Actual Costs to Date	Projected Total Cost May 1/14 to April 30/15 (B)	Projected Cost Variance (over/under) (A- B)	Projected Cost in 15/16 (C)	Total Project Variance (D-B-C)	Dec 2014 Approved Funding (D)
EQUIPMENT										
Data Centre Generator	\$ -						\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 250,000
Village Residence Underground Conduit for Wireless System	\$ -					\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,000	\$ -	\$ 115,000
Sub-Total Equipment	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 365,000	\$ -	\$ 365,000
OTHER										
Facility Condition Audits (VFA)					\$ -		\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000
Parking Lot Audit/Master Plan							\$ -	\$ 53,500	\$ -	\$ 53,500
Village Furniture Replacement							\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000
Centre for Digital Humanities Facilities Plan						\$ -	\$ -	\$ 179,000	\$ -	\$ 179,000
Lowenberger Carpeting Replacement							\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 150,000
Residence Miscellaneous Bedroom Painting							\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 150,000
Residence Miscellaneous Public Area Painting							\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000
Village Interior Renewal (Painting,Furniture,Carpeting,Blinds)						\$ -	\$ -	\$ 67,500	\$ -	\$ 67,500
Decew Painting Renewal						\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000
Main Campus Restricted New & Replacement Vehicles							\$ -	\$ 28,000	\$ -	\$ 28,000
Maintenance & Utilities Replacement Vehicles							\$ -	\$ 28,500	\$ -	\$ 28,500
Small Equipment							\$ -	\$ 32,000	\$ -	\$ 32,000
FM Staff Vehicle Replacement - Used							\$ -	\$ 18,000	\$ -	\$ 18,000
Campus Security New and Replacement Vehicle							\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -	\$ 35,000
MIWSFPA vehicle							\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -	\$ 35,000
Community & Ancillary Services (Conf Serv RTV's)							\$ -	\$ 21,000	\$ -	\$ 21,000
Sub-Total Other	\$	· s -		\$ -	<b>\$</b> -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,122,500	\$ -	\$ 1,122,500
TOTAL 2015/2016 LONG TERM CAPITAL PLAN	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 49,000	\$ (49,000)	\$ 8,451,000	\$ -	\$ 8,500,000

15/16 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES LONG TERM CAPITAL PLAN										
IT INFRASTRUCTURE										
PCI Compliance - 45,000 -							45,000			
Office & Lab Renovations				-			-	60,000	-	60,000
Sub-Total IT Infrastructure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	105,000	-	105,000
IT HARDWARE - EVERGREENING & GROWTH										
Network Switches - Administrative - EG				-			-	42,800	-	42,800
Network Switches - Residences - EG				-			-	53,950	-	53,950
Network Switches - Residences - Growth				-			-	13,000	-	13,000

						2014-15		2015-16		2015-16
Project Name	April 2014 Approved Funding	Q2 Funding Adjustments	Q3 Funding Adjustments	Revised Funding (A)	Actual Costs to Date	Projected Total Cost May 1/14 to April 30/15 (B)	Projected Cost Variance (over/under) (A- B)	Projected Cost in 15/16 (C)	Total Project Variance (D-B-C)	Dec 2014 Approved Funding (D)
Network Appliances - Administrative - Growth				-			_	45,000	-	45,000
A.V. (Including video conferencing) - EG				-			-	20,250	-	20,250
A.V. (Including video conferencing) - Growth				-			-	48,400	•	48,400
Wireless Administrative - EG				-			-	102,000	-	102,000
Wireless Administrative - Growth				-				42,500	-	42,500
Wireless Residence - EG				-				55,250	-	55,250
Wireless Residence - Growth				-			-	264,000		264,000
Cable Television System - Residence				-			-	4,500	•	4,500
Telephone System - Administrative				-			_	1,800	-	1,800
Telephone System - Residence				-			-	1,800	-	1,800
Disk Storage Growth				-				237,750	-	237,750
Data Back Up - EG				-				45,000	-	45,000
Security Door Consolidation				-			-	45,000	-	45,000
Cameras and Alarm System - EG				-			-	22,500	-	22,500
Computer Purchase & Redeployment System				-			-	255,000		255,000
Sub-Total IT Evergreening	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,300,500	-	1,300,500
ENTERPRISE SOFTWARE										
Campus Security Incident/Dispatch Reporting Software				-			-	40,500	-	40,500
Software Development Tools for AODA				-			_	22,500	٠	22,500
Configuration Management Software				-			-	18,000	-	18,000
Architecture Planning Tool				-			-	13,500	•	13,500
Finance System Replacement				-			-	1,000,000	-	1,000,000
Sub-Total IT Software	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,094,500	•	1,094,500
TOTAL 2015/16 ITS LTCP	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000

FPIHR Committee TOPIC: 2014-15 Q3 Report and Year End Forecast March 12, 2015 Appendix 5

## Appendix #5 – Forecasted Funding Budget Presented in Accordance with Canadian Accounting Standards for Not-for-profit Organizations ("NFPS")

The following is the forecasted funding budget presented in accordance with NFPS:

	2014-15 NFPS budget	2014-15 Adjusted Funding Budget Forecast	NFPS Entries	NFPS Re-class	2014-15 NFPS Forecast @ Oct 31
Revenue					
Student fees	144,848	145,339	-	-	145,339
Grant revenue	94,971	94,906	(573)	-	94,333
Other revenue	66,600	53,732	21,423	(4,305)	70,850
Total revenue	306,419	293,977	20,850	(4,305)	310,522
Expenses					
Personnel costs	(196,786)	(196,336)	40		(196,296)
Operating costs	(112,206)	(97,270)	(13,203)	4,305	(106,168)
Total expenses	(308,992)	(293,606)	(13,163)	4,305	(302,464)
Deficit before mitigation target	(2,573)	371	7,687	-	8,058
Mitigation target	3,221	-	N/A	N/A	-
Surplus	648	371	7,687	-	8,058

Note: The NFPS budget is prepared on an accrual basis with working capital accounts estimated as constant.

# Appendix #5 – Forecasted Funding Budget Presented in Accordance with Canadian Accounting Standards for Not-for-profit Organizations (continued)

The following is a review of the entries required to convert the funding budget to NFPS financial statements (Additional detail regarding these entries can be found on pages 12 and 13 of the 2014-15 Budget Report):

	Budget	Forecast	Comments
Capital grants	(573)	(573)	Estimated Facilities Renewal Grant.
BUSU roof contribution	-	(300)	For NFPS statements this funding will be deferred and amortized over the life of the roof.
Amortization of deferred capital contributions	6,897	7,027	Forecasted that the Sir Isaac Brock Statute will be substantially complete by year end but Marilyn I. Walker School of Fine and Performing Arts will not be substantially complete prior to year end.
Research, including fellowships	12,396	12,396	Forecast is the same as budget as these accounts net with the expense adjustments
Endowments	1,500	1,500	below. These accounts are monitored internally; however, predictability is limited.
Sinking fund	300	800	Forecasted as budget. Currently investment income is trending positively toward budget.
Total revenue adjustments	20,520	20,850	
Amortization of capital assets	(15,991)	(16,409)	Forecasted that the Sir Isaac Brock Statute will be substantially complete by year end but Marilyn I. Walker School of Fine and Performing Arts will not be substantially complete prior to year end.
Research, including fellowships	(12,396)	(12,396)	Forecast is the same as budget as these accounts net with the revenue adjustments above.
Endowments	(1,504)	(1,504)	These accounts are monitored internally; however, predictability is limited.
Internal financing	1,542	1,542	Forecast in-line with budget
Principal payments	1,885	2,027	Principal payments are forecasted slightly higher than budget as the \$18,000 debt issuance was an estimate at budget time
Debt reduction	-	475	Forecast based on proposed debt reduction recorded in the funding budget.
Capital purchases	4,393	4,750	Capital purchases are only adjusted for those funded through the funding budget without external or debt funding sources.
Deferred maintenance reserves / capital fund	2,000	8,312	Addition to the reserve / unspent at year end in the capital fund.
Employee future benefit reserve	-	900	Forecast based on proposed funding strategy for employee future benefits recorded in the funding budget.
Employee future benefit expense	(1,106)	(1,273)	Estimates updated based on valuation completed
Pension	1,300	413	following budget development
Total expense adjustments	(19,872)	(13,163)	
Net impact	648	7,687	

## Appendix #6 - Debt Metrics Glossary of Terms

The following glossary of terms related to the Financial Health Metrics included in the Report (page 3) are as follows:

		Brock			ian*	Weighted Average*	
	Q3 Forecast	April 2014	April 2013	April 2014	April 2013	April 2014	April 2013
Primary reserve ratio	7.6%	3.4%	4.2%	31.6%	32.8%	35.3%	31.7%
Debt burden ratio	3.2%	3.7%~	2.8%	2.3%	2.1%	3.4%	3.3%
Interest burden %	2.6%	2.6%	2.5%	1.9%	1.8%	1.5%	1.4%
Interest coverage	2.8	2.8	1.97	7.53	6.67	6.43	5.85
Viability ratio	14.0%	7.0%	8.4%	111.5%	98.2%	109.3%	110.3%
Endowment per student	\$4,000	\$ 3,860	\$ 3,420	\$6,680	\$7,390	\$7,010	\$6,840

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated using financial information from 15 other Comprehensive Universities

The financial health metrics in the table above can be explained as follows:

- 1. The primary reserve ratio refers to the amount of cash available to cover operations. It identifies at April 2014 we had approximately 12 days of expandable reserves.
- 2. The next two ratios above describe how the University utilizes a greater proportion of its annual operating expense to fund debt obligations.
- 3. The interest coverage ratio has been improving; however, trails behind our peers.
- 4. The viability ratio is essentially how much of the institution's debt could be paid off with expendable resources. The average institution in our category could pay off all their debt with expendable resources; whereas, Brock can only pay off 7%. This highlights that Brock is vulnerable to unplanned events.

## Glossary of Terms

Metric	Calculation	Definition
Primary reserve ratio	expendable net assets* / total expenses - amortization	This measures the ratio of expendable net assets to total expenses and indicates how long Brock could function using their expendable reserves without relying on additional net assets generated by the operations.
Debt burden ratio	(principal + interest) / total expenses	This provides an indicator of the relative magnitude of debt charges to the expenses of the University.
Interest burden ratio	interest expense / total expense - depreciation + principal debt payments	This provides an indicator of the relative magnitude of interest charges in comparison to operating cash flow items excluding capital.

<sup>~</sup> Increase year over year was impacted by the one-time repayment of the commercial plaza mortgage.

Interest coverage ratio	Earnings Before Interest, Depreciation, Amortization (EBIDA) / Interest Expense	A ratio used to determine how easily the University can pay interest on outstanding debt with cash generated from operations, excluding interest expense.
Viability ratio	net expandable assets / debt	The availability of expendable net assets to cover debt should the institution need to settle its obligations as of the balance sheet date.
Endowment per student	endowment value / FTE	Endowment Investments per FTE, useful in benchmarking the size of the University's endowment to other institutions.

<sup>\*</sup> Expendable net assets = Net Assets + Employee Future Benefit Liability - Endowments - Invested in Capital Assets. This value includes cash internally restricted; whereas, the cash flow report deducts internally restricted net assets to determine the University "Uncommitted Cash Balance". DBRS includes both internally restricted and endowments in their analysis.