

Minutes Meeting #11

Senate Ad Hoc Committee On Program Review and Prioritization
Tuesday, April 1, 2014, 9:00am-10:30am
Board Room, 13th Floor, Schmon Tower

Present: Nota Klentrou, David Siegel, Jane Koustas, Lee Bleding, Tony Di Petta, Roland Erman, Tek Thongpapanl, Barbara Sainty, Hichem Ben-El-Mechaiekh, Dan Malleck, Scott Henderson

Guests from Program Review Working Group: Juan Xu, Carrie Kelly, Bryan Boles,

Regrets: Laurie Morrison, Kate Bezanson, Neil McCartney, Greg Finn, Fiona Blaikie

1. Report of Chair

- Met with BUFA and GSA had very good discussions
- GSA will communicate with their members as now they have a good understanding of the work of the committee
- BUSU will invite students to town halls
- T. Di uncertainty around the university, can we raise to the BOT that we would like to slow the admin restructure down because the landscape will be different when the committee is done
- Request a meeting with SAC to postpone staff changes
- Introductions to Tek

2. Approval of Minutes from March 25, 2014

- Motion to approve the Minutes (Tony/Barbara) - PASSED (2 abstentions)

3. KPIs for Criteria 8 and 9

- B. Sainty and B. Boles worked together
- Challenge to assess by faculty and not program because the BIU's are not 1 to 1, various weights depending on Faculty
- Not yet gone to a program level-BRAM
- Juan: very complicated model-money goes into a pot and use formulas to divide money
- D. Malleck: the job is not to talk about the financial status of program. As a committee of Senate we should only look at quality of program and demand.

- B. Sainty: Work of committee would be incomplete without looking at finances. Mandate states that “resource allocation” is taken into account
- Ben and Nota: combine 8&9 to look at differences of revenue and expenses, more excepted by community and will not duplicate criteria 2
- D. Siegel: enrollment levels appropriate proxy for revenue
- 8&9 will be mostly qualitative so explanations can be used to explain differences in a program.
- Ben: look at drivers of cost and revues and do not give high weight
- B. Sainty: take suggestions and work on them but will not be able to have it ready by next week as she has other commitments.
- By getting rid of 8 we can better implement consultation suggestions

4. KPIs for Criteria 10 and 11

- N. Klentrou made a few changes to criteria 10 based on discussion and suggestions of the committee.
- L. Morrison worked on criteria 11 but is not present to explain the KPI's
- N. Klentrou made a few changes based on discussion and suggestions of the committee.
- J. Koustas suggested Send back to L. Morrison for revisions

5. Review consultation comments

6. Review of weightings - postponed to next meeting

Motion to adjourn (Jane/Barbara) - PASSED